NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 812611/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/27/2019

STATE OF NEW YORK SUPREME COURT: COUNTY OF ERIE

MARGARET GEORGE,

Plaintiff,

v.

KENMORE-TOWN OF TONAWANDA UNIFIED FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT aka KEN-TON SCHOOL DISTRICT; KENMORE WEST HIGH SCHOOL

Defendant(s).

Index No.	

SUMMONS

TO THE ABOVE NAMED DEFENDANT(S):

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED to answer the Complaint, a copy of which is hereby served upon you, and to serve a copy of your Answer to the Complaint upon the undersigned attorneys listed below within twenty (20) days after the service of this Summons, exclusive of the day of service (or within thirty (30) days after the service is complete if this Summons is not personally delivered to you within the State of New York); and in the case of your failure to appear or answer, judgment by default will be taken against you for the relief demanded herein.

Dated: September 27, 2019

Leah Costanzo, Esq.

STEVE BOYD, PC

40 North Forest Road Williamsville, NY 14221

Telephone: (716) 400-0000 lcostanzo@steveboyd.com

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 812611/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/27/2019

Jeffrey R. Anderson
J. Michael Reck
JEFF ANDERSON & ASSOCIATES, P.A.
52 Duane Street, 7th Floor
New York, NY 10007
Telephone: (646) 759-2551
jeff@andersonadvocates.com
mreck@andersonadvocates.com

Counsel for Plaintiff

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 812611/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/27/2019

STATE OF NEW YORK SUPREME COURT: COUNTY OF ERIE

١	ΛA	R	GA	RET	GEO	RGE,
- 11	114 3	~~	\mathbf{v}		\sim	\mathbf{r}

Plaintiff,

v.

KENMORE-TOWN OF TONAWANDA UNIFIED FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT aka KEN-TON SCHOOL DISTRICT; KENMORE WEST HIGH SCHOOL

Defendants.

Index N	0

COMPLAINT

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL¹

Plaintiff, by and through Plaintiff's attorneys, states and alleges as follows:

PARTIES

- 1. At all times material to this Complaint, Plaintiff resided in the State of New York.
- 2. Whenever reference is made to any Defendant entity, such reference includes that entity, affiliates, predecessors, and successors. In addition, whenever reference is made to any act, deed, or transaction of any entity, the allegation means that the entity engaged in the act, deed, or transaction by or through its officers, directors, agents, employees, or representatives while they were actively engaged in the management, direction, control, or transaction of the entity's business or affairs.
- 3. At all times material, Defendant Kenmore-Town of Tonawanda Unified Free School District was and continues to be a public school district located in the County of Erie and State of New York.

¹ Pursuant to §4 of the New York Child Victims Act, Plaintiff is entitled to a trial preference.

ERIE COUNTY CLERK 09/27/2019 09:45

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 812611/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/27/2019

At all times material, Kenmore West High School was and continues to be a public 4. school owned, controlled, supervised, operated and managed by Defendant Kenmore-Town of Tonawanda Unified Free School District.

At all times material, Joseph Sutton was an employee of Defendant Kenmore-Town 5. of Tonawanda Unified Free School District.

JURISDICTION

- This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to C.P.L.R. § 301 as Defendant is a quasi-6. municipal corporation created and organized by state legislatures and charged with the administration of public schools in the State of New York and because the unlawful conduct complained of herein occurred in New York.
- Venue is proper pursuant to C.P.L.R. § 504 in that Defendant Kenmore-Town of 7. Tonawanda Unified Free School District is situated in Erie County.
- This complaint is brought under the Child Victims Act and, as such, the filing of a 8. Notice of Claim is not required.

FACTS

- At all times material, Sutton was employed by Defendant Kenmore-Town of 9. Tonawanda Unified Free School District and remained under the direct supervision, employ, and control of Defendant Kenmore-Town of Tonawanda Unified Free School District.
- Defendant Kenmore-Town of Tonawanda Unified Free School District placed 10. Sutton in positions where he had access to and worked with children as an integral part of his work. Specifically, Defendant Kenmore-Town of Tonawanda Unified Free School District placed and retained Sutton at Kenmore West High School as a Physical Education Teacher.
 - 11. At all times material, Plaintiff was a student at Kenmore West High School.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 812611/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/27/2019

12. Plaintiff, as a minor and vulnerable child, was dependent on Defendant Kenmore-

Town of Tonawanda Unified Free School District and Sutton. Defendant Kenmore-Town of

Tonawanda Unified Free School District had custody of Plaintiff and was entrusted with the safety

of Plaintiff and, therefore, had responsibility and authority over Plaintiff.

13. From approximately 1971 to 1972, when Plaintiff was approximately 15 years old,

Sutton engaged in unpermitted sexual contact with Plaintiff.

14. Defendant Kenmore-Town of Tonawanda Unified Free School District knew or

should have known that Sutton was a danger to children before Sutton sexually assaulted Plaintiff.

15. Prior to the sexual abuse of Plaintiff, Defendant Kenmore-Town of Tonawanda

Unified Free School District learned or should have learned that Sutton was not fit to work with

children. Defendant Kenmore-Town of Tonawanda Unified Free School District, by and through

their agents, servants and/or employees, became aware, or should have become aware of Sutton's

propensity to commit sexual abuse and of the risk to Plaintiff's safety. At the very least, Defendant

Kenmore-Town of Tonawanda Unified Free School District knew or should have known that they

did not have sufficient information about whether or not its employees, more specifically Sutton,

were fit to work with children.

16. Defendant Kenmore-Town of Tonawanda Unified Free School District knew or

should have known that there was a risk of the sexual abuse of children attending Kenmore West

High School. At the very least, Defendant Kenmore-Town of Tonawanda Unified Free School

District knew or should have known that they did not have sufficient information about whether

or not there was a risk of child sex abuse for children attending Kenmore West High School.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 812611/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/27/2019

17. Instead, Defendants negligently deemed that Sutton was fit to work with children and/or that any previous misconduct was fixed or cured and/or that Sutton would not sexually

assault children and/or that Sutton would not injure children.

18. Defendant Kenmore-Town of Tonawanda Unified Free School District owed

Plaintiff a duty of reasonable care because they had superior knowledge about the risk that Sutton

posed to Plaintiff, the risk of abuse in general in its schools and/or the risks that its facilities posed

to minor children.

19. Defendant Kenmore-Town of Tonawanda Unified Free School District owed a duty

to Plaintiff to protect Plaintiff from harm because Defendant Kenmore-Town of Tonawanda

Unified Free School District's actions created a foreseeable risk of harm to Plaintiff. As a

vulnerable child attending Kenmore West High School, Plaintiff was a foreseeable victim. As a

vulnerable child who Sutton had access to through Sutton's employment with Defendant

Kenmore-Town of Tonawanda Unified Free School District, Plaintiff was a foreseeable victim.

20. Defendant Kenmore-Town of Tonawanda Unified Free School District also

breached its duty to Plaintiff by actively maintaining and employing Sutton in a position of power

and authority through which Sutton had access to children, including Plaintiff, and power and

control over children, including Plaintiff.

21. Defendant Kenmore-Town of Tonawanda Unified Free School District breached

its duties to Plaintiff. Defendant Kenmore-Town of Tonawanda Unified Free School District failed

to use ordinary care in determining whether its facilities were safe and/or determining whether it

had sufficient information to represent its facilities as safe. Defendant Kenmore-Town of

Tonawanda Unified Free School District's breach of its duties include, but are not limited to:

failure to protect Plaintiff from a known or reasonably foreseeable danger, failure to have sufficient

ERIE COUNTY CLERK 09/27/2019 09:45

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 812611/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/27/2019

policies and procedures to prevent child sex abuse, failure to properly implement policies and

procedures to prevent child sex abuse, failure to take reasonable measures to make sure that

policies and procedures to prevent child sex abuse were working, failure to adequately inform

families and children of the risks of child sex abuse, failure to investigate risks of child sex abuse,

failure to have any outside agency test its safety procedures, failure to protect the children attending

its programs from child sex abuse, failure to adhere to the applicable standard of care for child

safety, failure to investigate the amount and type of information necessary to represent the school

and its employees as safe, failure to train its employees properly to identify signs of child sexual

abuse by fellow employees, and to engage or timely engage certified health professionals

Defendant Kenmore-Town of Tonawanda Unified Free School District also 22.

breached its duty to Plaintiff by failing to warn Plaintiff and Plaintiff's family of the risk that

Sutton posed. Defendant further failed to warn Plaintiff's family of Defendant

Kenmore-Town of Tonawanda Unified Free School District's knowledge of the occurrence of

child sexual abuse.

23. Defendant Kenmore-Town of Tonawanda Unified Free School District additionally

violated their legal duty by failing to report known and/or suspected abuse of children by Sutton

and/or its other agents to the police and law enforcement.

24. Defendant Kenmore-Town of Tonawanda Unified Free School District was

negligent and/or made representations to Plaintiff and Plaintiff's family during each and every year

of Plaintiff's attendance during plaintiff's minority.

25. As a direct result of Defendant Kenmore-Town of Tonawanda Unified Free School

District's negligence as described herein, Plaintiff has suffered, and will continue to suffer, great

pain of mind and body, severe and permanent emotional distress, physical manifestations of

5

7 of 14

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 812611/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/27/2019

emotional distress, embarrassment, loss of self-esteem, humiliation and other physical, personal and psychological injuries. Plaintiff was prevented, and will continue to be prevented, from performing normal daily activities and obtaining the full enjoyment of life; and/or has incurred and will continue to incur expenses for psychological treatment, therapy, and counseling, and,

upon information and belief, has and/or will incur loss of income and/or loss of earning capacity.

AS AND FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION: NEGLIGENCE

26. Plaintiff incorporates all consistent paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth

under this count.

27. Defendant Kenmore-Town of Tonawanda Unified Free School District owed

Plaintiff a duty of reasonable care to protect the Plaintiff from injury.

28. Defendant Kenmore-Town of Tonawanda Unified Free School District owed

Plaintiff a duty of reasonable care because Defendant Kenmore-Town of Tonawanda Unified Free

School District had a special relationship with Plaintiff.

29. Defendant Kenmore-Town of Tonawanda Unified Free School District also had a

duty arising from its special relationship with Plaintiff's parents, and other parents of

young, vulnerable children, to properly train and supervise its employees. The special relationship

arose because of the high degree of vulnerability of the children entrusted to Defendant Kenmore-

Town of Tonawanda Unified Free School District's care. As a result of the high degree of

vulnerability and risk of sexual abuse inherent in such a special relationship, Defendant Kenmore-

Town of Tonawanda Unified Free School District had a duty to establish measures of protection

not necessary for persons who are older or better able to safeguard themselves.

6

8 of 14

ERIE COUNTY CLERK 09/27/2019

INDEX NO. 812611/2019

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/27/2019

> Defendant Kenmore-Town of Tonawanda Unified Free School District owed 30. Plaintiff a duty to protect Plaintiff from harm because Defendant Kenmore-Town of Tonawanda

Unified Free School District had a special relationship with Sutton.

31. By representing Sutton out as safe to work with children, and by undertaking the

custody, supervision of, and/or care of the minor Plaintiff, Defendant Kenmore-Town of

Tonawanda Unified Free School District entered into a fiduciary relationship with the minor

Plaintiff. As a result of Plaintiff being a minor, and by Defendant Kenmore-Town of Tonawanda

Unified Free School District's undertaking of the care and guidance of then vulnerable minor

Plaintiff, Defendant Kenmore-Town of Tonawanda Unified Free School District held a position

of empowerment over Plaintiff.

Further, Defendant Kenmore-Town of Tonawanda Unified Free School District, by 32.

holding itself out as being able to provide a safe environment for children, accepted this position

of empowerment. Defendant Kenmore-Town of Tonawanda Unified Free School District thus

entered into a fiduciary relationship with Plaintiff. Defendant Kenmore-Town of Tonawanda

Unified Free School District exploited its position of empowerment, putting Plaintiff at risk to be

sexually assaulted.

By accepting custody of the minor Plaintiff, Defendant Kenmore-Town of 33.

Tonawanda Unified Free School District established an in loco parentis relationship with Plaintiff

and in so doing, owed Plaintiff a duty to protect Plaintiff from injury.

34. By establishing, operating and/or administrating Kenmore West High School,

accepting the minor Plaintiff as a participant in its programs, holding its facilities and programs

out to be a safe environment for Plaintiff, accepting custody of the minor Plaintiff in loco parentis,

and by establishing a fiduciary relationship with Plaintiff, Defendant Kenmore-Town of

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 812611/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/27/2019

Tonawanda Unified Free School District entered into an express and/or implied duty to properly

supervise Plaintiff and provide a reasonably safe environment for children attending its schools.

Defendant Kenmore-Town of Tonawanda Unified Free School District also owed Plaintiff a duty

to properly supervise Plaintiff to prevent harm from foreseeable dangers. Defendant Kenmore-

Town of Tonawanda Unified Free School District had the duty to exercise the same degree of care

over minor students under its control as a reasonably prudent parent would have exercised under

similar circumstances.

35. By establishing and operating Kenmore West High School and by accepting the

enrollment and participation of the minor Plaintiff as a participant in its educational programs,

Defendant Kenmore-Town of Tonawanda Unified Free School District owed Plaintiff a duty to

properly supervise Plaintiff to prevent harm from generally foreseeable dangers.

36. Defendant Kenmore-Town of Tonawanda Unified Free School District owed

Plaintiff a duty to protect Plaintiff from harm because Defendant Kenmore-Town of Tonawanda

Unified Free School District was aware of Plaintiff's presence on its property and aware that Sutton

posed a dangerous condition on Defendant Kenmore-Town of Tonawanda Unified Free School

District's property.

37. Defendant Kenmore-Town of Tonawanda Unified Free School District breached

its duties to Plaintiff by failing to use reasonable care. Defendant Kenmore-Town of Tonawanda

Unified Free School District's failures include, but are not limited to, failing to properly supervise

Sutton, failing to properly supervise Plaintiff and failing to protect Plaintiff from a known danger.

38. As a direct result of the foregoing, Plaintiff sustained physical, emotional, and

psychological injuries, along with pain and suffering.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 812611/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/27/2019

AS AND FOR A SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION: NEGLIGENT HIRING

- 39. Plaintiff incorporates all consistent paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth under this court.
- 40. At all times material, Sutton was employed by Defendant Kenmore-Town of Tonawanda Unified Free School District and was under Defendant Kenmore-Town of Tonawanda Unified Free School District's direct supervision, employ and control when he/she committed the wrongful acts alleged herein. Sutton engaged in the illegal conduct while acting in the course and scope of his employment with Defendant Kenmore-Town of Tonawanda Unified Free School District and/or accomplished the sexual abuse by virtue of his/her job-created authority.
- Defendant Kenmore-Town of Tonawanda Unified Free School District 41. negligently hired and/or negligently placed Sutton in a position to cause foreseeable harm which Plaintiff would not have been subjected to had Defendant Kenmore-Town of Tonawanda Unified Free School District taken reasonable care in its pre-hiring investigation of Sutton.
- 42. Defendant Kenmore-Town of Tonawanda Unified Free School District knew or should have known of Sutton's propensity for the type of behavior which resulted in Plaintiff's injuries.
- 43. As a result of the foregoing, Plaintiff sustained physical, emotional and psychological injuries, along with pain and suffering.

AS AND FOR A THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION: NEGLIGENT TRAINING AND SUPERVISION

44. Plaintiff incorporates all consistent paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth under this count.

ERIE COUNTY CLERK 09/27/2019

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/27/2019

INDEX NO. 812611/2019

45. At all times material, Sutton was employed by Defendant Kenmore-Town of

Tonawanda Unified Free School District and was under each Defendant Kenmore-Town of

Tonawanda Unified Free School District's direct supervision, employ, and control when he

committed the wrongful acts alleged herein. Sutton engaged in the wrongful conduct while acting

in the course and scope of his employment with Defendant Kenmore-Town of Tonawanda Unified

Free School District and/or accomplished the sexual abuse by virtue of his job-created authority.

46. Defendant Kenmore-Town of Tonawanda Unified Free School District had a duty,

arising from its employment of Sutton, to ensure that Sutton did not sexually molest children.

47. Further, Defendant Kenmore-Town of Tonawanda Unified Free School District had

a duty to train and educate employees and administrators and establish adequate and effective

policies and procedures calculated to detect, prevent, and address inappropriate behavior and

conduct between its employees and children.

48. Defendant Kenmore-Town of Tonawanda Unified Free School District was

negligent in the training, supervision, and instruction of its employees. Defendant Kenmore-Town

of Tonawanda Unified Free School District failed to timely and properly educate, train, supervise,

and/or monitor its agents or employees with regard to policies and procedures that should be

followed when sexual abuse of a child is suspected or observed.

49. Defendant Kenmore-Town of Tonawanda Unified Free School District was

additionally negligent in failing to supervise, monitor, chaperone, and/or investigate Sutton and/or

in failing to create, institute, and/or enforce rules, policies, procedures, and/or regulations to

prevent Sutton's sexual abuse of Plaintiff.

50. In failing to properly supervise Sutton, and in failing to establish such training

procedures for employees and administrators, Defendant Kenmore-Town of Tonawanda Unified

INDEX NO. 812611/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/27/2019

Free School District failed to exercise the care that a reasonably prudent parent would have exercised under similar circumstances.

51. As a direct result of the foregoing, Plaintiff sustained physical, emotional, and psychological injuries, along with pain and suffering.

AS AND FOR A FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION: NEGLIGENT RETENTION

- 52. Plaintiff incorporates all consistent paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth under this count.
- 53. Defendant Kenmore-Town of Tonawanda Unified Free School District became aware or should have become aware of Sutton's propensity for child sexual abuse, and failed to take any further action to remedy the problem and failed to investigate or remove Sutton from working with children.
- 54. Defendant Kenmore-Town of Tonawanda Unified Free School District negligently and/or recklessly retained Sutton with knowledge of Sutton's propensity for the type of behavior which resulted in Plaintiff's injuries in this action.
- 55. Defendants negligently and/or recklessly retained Sutton in a position where he had access to children and could foreseeably cause harm which Plaintiff would not have been subjected to had Defendant Kenmore-Town of Tonawanda Unified Free School District acted reasonably.
- 56. In failing to timely remove Sutton from working with children or terminate the employment of Sutton, Defendant Kenmore-Town of Tonawanda Unified Free School District negligently and/or recklessly failed to exercise the degree of care that a reasonably prudent parent would have exercised under similar circumstances.
- 57. As a direct result of the foregoing, Plaintiff sustained physical, emotional, and psychological injuries, along with pain and suffering.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 812611/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/27/2019

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, based on the foregoing causes of action, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendant(s) in an amount that will fully and fairly compensate Plaintiff for Plaintiff's injuries and damages, and for any other relief the Court deems appropriate. The amount of damages sought in this Complaint exceeds the jurisdictional limits of all lower courts which would otherwise have jurisdiction.

DATED: Suplember 1, 2019

Leah Costanzo, Esq.
STEVE BOYD, PC
40 North Forest Road
Williamsville, NY 14221
Telephone: (716) 400-0000
lcostanzo@steveboyd.com

Jeffrey R. Anderson
J. Michael Reck
JEFF ANDERSON & ASSOCIATES, P.A.
52 Duane Street, 7th Floor
New York, NY 10007
Telephone: (646) 759-2551
jeff@andersonadvocates.com
mreck@andersonadvocates.com
Counsel for Plaintiff